

<b>1 Neo-Darwinian Creolistics: Whence and whereto? A sketch</b>	<b>213</b>
1.1 Historiography and Epistemology: From Schleicher to Popper . . . . .	214
1.1.1 (Pre-)Darwinian linguistics: Schleicher (1863) on the ‘Tree of Language’ . . . . .	214
1.1.2 Neo-Darwinian creolistics: Saint-Quentin (1872) and Adam (1883) on simple(st) languages . . . . .	215
1.1.3 On Cartesian-Uniformitarian linguistics: The Neogrammarians . . . . .	216
1.1.4 Foucault (1972) on “chimera and reverie” in linguistics . . . . .	217
1.1.5 Popper (1965) on (criticisms of) myths as science . . . . .	217
1.2 Schleicherian roots of Language and route to progress: From isolating to agglutinative to inflectional/fusional . . . . .	217
1.3 A foundational myth: Creoles as contemporary <i>Ursprachen</i> . . . . .	220
1.4 Toward Cartesian-Uniformitarian creolistics . . . . .	220
1.5 Back to Schleicher(ian creolistics)’s <i>Ursprachen</i> . . . . .	223
1.6 Toward Cartesian creolistics (redux): A guide for “learning by debunking” . . . . .	224
<b>2 Defining “Creole”</b>	<b>227</b>
2.1 Haitian Creole: An anti-prototype Prototypical Creole . . . . .	228
2.2 The Creole Prototype is an anti-Saussurean artificial language . . . . .	232
2.3 Empirical and methodological considerations . . . . .	234
<b>3 Creoles, how “old” are you?</b>	<b>234</b>
3.1 Creoles as “born again” languages? . . . . .	234
3.2 Why/How do we “age” languages? . . . . .	235
3.3 Creoles as multi-millenarian morphosyntactically-wrinkled neonates . . . . .	238
<b>4 On “pidgins”, “simplification” and “basic communication”</b>	<b>242</b>
4.1 Epistemological issues: Vagueness, circularity, falsifiability, etc. . . . .	242
4.2 On the making of “pidgins” . . . . .	244
4.3 Are pidgins designed ab ovo from “ground zero” complexity? . . . . .	250
4.4 “Simplification”: Terminus a quo and terminus ad quem . . . . .	256
4.5 “Basic communication”: What are the basics? . . . . .	259
<b>5 A most simplistic “complexity” metric</b>	<b>265</b>
5.1 Defining complexity via description length (= number of information bits) . . . . .	265
5.2 Not all “bits” of grammar have theoretical bite . . . . .	266
5.3 How many “rules”? The looks of languages vs. the essence of grammar . . . . .	268
5.4 Complexity is no simple matter . . . . .	273
<b>6 “Learning by Debunking”: The empirical (non-)basis of age-complexity correlations</b>	<b>274</b>
6.1 Age before complexity? Complexity before age? Schleicherian circularity . . . . .	274
6.2 Cycles of complexity in diachrony . . . . .	278
6.3 Historical linguists’ “endless cycles” vs. pro-prototype creolists’ “ground zero” . . . . .	280
6.4 The empirical test: To be “old” AND “born again”? . . . . .	281
<b>7 Envoi: The descent of the Creole speaker</b>	<b>289</b>
<b>Appendix I: Were Haitian affixes “borrowed late”?</b>	<b>291</b>
<b>Appendix II: On the “afrogenesis” of Haitian (and Mauritian) Creole</b>	<b>294</b>
<b>Correspondence address</b>	<b>300</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>300</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>300</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>300</b>